

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,079	08/01/2006	Edith Sorensen	P30006	3993
7055 7590 08/03/2010 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE	·.	KENNEDY, NICOLETTA	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/582,079	SORENSEN, EDITH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nicoletta Kennedy	1611	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{\textstyle{1}}}\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an an application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reperiods:	nendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the see) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final re	iection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MON	2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In THS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitic have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the cor under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any repty received by the Office later than three months may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	responding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CF	P 41 37 must be filed within two months of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitt non-allowable claim(s).	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7.  ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-15 and 22-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16-21</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Pag. 13. Other:	per No(s). 7/19/10				
/N. K./	R Kubelik/				
Admen	Examiner, Art Unit 1638				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims remain rejected under the grounds of rejection used in the final office action dated June 3, 2010.

Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive. As an initial matter, Applicant states that the examiner should consider copending application 10/582,223. While the examiner has reviewed the prosecution history of this application, Applicant is reminded that each case is examined on its merits.

Applicant then argues that the object of the composition of Tame-Said is to prevent periodontal diseases, not to whiten teeth. This is an intended use that does not structurally limit the claimed composition. The claim requires that calcium pryophabe be present and the examiner has provided rationale for substituting calcium pyrophosphate for tricalcium phosphate. The rationale is based on the simple substitution of one known tooth polishing agent for another. Additionally, as previously stated by the examiner amount of polishing agent is not inventive. While the examiner appreciates Applicant's remarks regarding teeth whitening, the motivation for substitution in prior art references does not need to match the motive of applicant for combination in the instant claims. The fact that such a combination would have been within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art, irrespective of the reason for combination, is sufficient to establish a case of prima facte obviousness.